# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

CINDY ABBO,	Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
VS.	JURY TRIAL
PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
Defendant.	

## **COMPLAINT**

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought by Plaintiff, Cindy Abbo, an individual consumer, against Defendant, Performant Recovery, Inc., for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

#### II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business here.

#### III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Cindy Abbo, is a natural person with a permanent residence in Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio 43611.
- 4. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, Performant Recovery, Inc., is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state and in several other states, with its principal place of business located at 333 North Canyons Parkway, Suite 100, Livermore, Alameda County, California 94551. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts in this state and several other states, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due to another.
- 5. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

///

///

#### IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. The debt that Defendant is attempting to collect on is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment.
- 7. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, contacted Plaintiff and threatened to garnish Plaintiff's wages.
- 8. Defendant has no standing to commence garnishment proceedings on behalf of the creditor.
- 9. Defendant is a debt collection company and as a debt collection company attempting to collect on an alleged debt, Defendant can only refer the matter back to the creditor with a recommendation that the original creditor attempt legal proceedings which could result in garnishment.
- 10. The representations made to Plaintiff by Defendant regarding garnishment were false.
- 11. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, contacted Plaintiff and threatened to place a lien on Plaintiff's home.

12. Defendant has no standing to commence lien proceedings on behalf of

the creditor.

13. Defendant is a debt collection company and as a debt collection

company attempting to collect on an alleged debt, Defendant can only refer the

matter back to the creditor with a recommendation that the original creditor

attempt legal proceedings which could result in lien.

14. The representations made to Plaintiff by Defendant regarding lien

were false.

15. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were

to unjustly condemn and vilify Plaintiff for her non-payment of the debt she

allegedly owed.

16. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were

to produce an unpleasant and/or hostile situation between Defendant and Plaintiff.

17. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were

to cause Plaintiff mental distress.

18. Defendant utilized unfair and unconscionable means to collect on

Plaintiff's alleged debt, by lying to and misleading Plaintiff.

///

///

### V. CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference to the foregoing paragraphs.
- 20. Defendants violated the FDCPA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and
  - (b) Defendant violated §1692d(2) of the FDCPA by using obscene or profane language or language the natural consequences of which is to abuse the hearer or reader in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and
  - (c) Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of the alleged debt; and
  - (d) Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by giving the false representation or implication that nonpayment of the alleged debt will result in the garnishment of wages of any person when

- such action is unlawful and the Defendant does not intend to take such action; and
- (e) Defendant violated §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by giving the false representation or implication that nonpayment of the alleged debt will result in the attachment of any property of any person when such action is unlawful and the Defendant does not intend to take such action; and
- (f) Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take action that the Defendant does not intend to take and/or the Defendant cannot legally take; and
- (g) Defendant violated  $\S1692e(10)$  of the FDCPA by using false representation or deceptive means in connection with the collection the alleged debt; and
- (h) Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.
- 21. Defendant's acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 22. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff, Cindy Abbo, for declaratory judgment that Defendant's

conduct violated the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney fees.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant, Performant Recovery, Inc., for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA.
- B. Actual damages.
- C. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- E. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law.
- F. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Please take notice that Plaintiff, Cindy Abbo, demands trial by jury in this action.

DATED: October 25, 2013 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: s/ Peter Cozmyk
Peter Cozmyk, Esq. (OBN: 0078862)
Trail Attorney for Plaintiff
Cindy Abbo
Cozmyk Law Offices, LLC
6100 Oak Tree Blvd.
Suite 200, Room #209
Independence, OH 44131

Telephone: (440) 292-7672 Email: pcozmyk@hotmail.com